



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

. APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,629	11/21/2003	Allan P. Henderson	P64761US2 . 8622	
136	7590 06/27/2005		EXAMINER	
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC			NGUYEN, CHI Q	
400 SEVENT SUITE 600	'H STREET N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			3635	

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/71	7,629	HENDERSON, A	ALLAN P.			
Office Action Summa	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
	Chi Q	Nguyen	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	nddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less tha - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.3	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In not his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply within the dimum statutory period will apply are for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of thi	statutory minimum of the will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tim DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>24 April 2008</u>	<u>5</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>15-22 and 33-36</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objecte	\(\sum_{\sum} \) \(\sum_{\s						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is obje	•	•		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the p3. ☐ Copies of the certified of	e of: priority documents have b priority documents have b popies of the priority docu pernational Bureau (PCT f	peen received. Deen received in a Iments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachment(s)		 □					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Regard Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	•	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	°O-152)			

M

Application/Control Number: 10/717,629

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the applicant's amendment filed on 4/24/05.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-22, 33-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,023 in view of Henderson (US 5,586,417). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the patented claims appears to be the same as the instant application claims. Specifically, the patented claims of US 6,672,023 structurally having a first upright annular structure of cementitious material, a second upright annular structure of cementitious material, which claimed as a pedestal section and perimeter wall section, respectively, a spread section of cementitious material said spread section extending radially between and interconnecting a lower end portion of said second annular structure. The annular sections are corrugated metal pipe with different height and post-

Application/Control Number: 10/717.629

Art Unit: 3635

tensioning bolts embedded in the cementitious material. However, the patented claims of US 6,672,023 do not teach specifically the method steps of forming a foundation. The patented claims 6, 7, 12, and 13 of US 5,586,417 teach method steps of forming a foundation including steps excavating a generally circular ground pit of a diameter slightly greater than and a height slightly less than the diameter and height, respectively, pouring concrete material in the annular space between the pipes. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the patented claims from US 6,672,023 for foundation structures with the patented claims from US 5,586,417 for method steps of forming a foundation. The motivation for doing so would have been to properly form a pier foundation with the similar structures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-22, and 33-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (571) 272-6842. The examiner's right fax number is (571) 273-6847.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published Application/Control Number: 10/717,629

Art Unit: 3635

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

CQN 1/6/05

Primary Examiner

Page 4